

# Earth

## Evolution of a Habitable World

Second edition

Fully updated throughout, including revised illustrations and new images from NASA missions, this new edition provides an overview of Earth's history from a planetary science perspective, for undergraduates in earth science, planetary science, and astronomy. The evolution of the Earth is described in the context of what we know about other planets and the cosmos at large, from the origin of the cosmos to the processes that shape planetary environments, and from the origins of life to the inner workings of cells.

### Key features

- Integrates astronomy, earth science, planetary science, and astrobiology to give students the whole picture of how the Earth has come to its present state.
- Presents concepts in nontechnical language and avoids mathematical treatments where possible, allowing students to grasp concepts without wading through complex maths.
- New end-of-chapter summaries and questions allow students to check their understanding and critical thinking is emphasized to encourage students to explore ideas scientifically for themselves.

**Jonathan I. Lunine** is the David C. Duncan Professor in the Physical Sciences at Cornell University. His research interests center broadly on planetary origin and evolution, in our solar system and around other stars. He works as an interdisciplinary scientist on the Cassini mission to Saturn, and on the James Webb Space Telescope, and is also a co-investigator on the Juno mission, which launched for Jupiter in August 2011. Dr. Lunine is the author of over 230 scientific papers and besides the first edition of this book (Cambridge University Press, 1999), he has also written *Astrobiology: A Multidisciplinary Approach* (Pearson Addison-Wesley, 2005). He is a member of the US National Academy of Sciences, and a fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science and the American Geophysical Union.

**Praise for this book:**

“review quote to come review quote to come”

Reviewer 1, somewhere

“review quote to come review quote to come”

Reviewer 2, somewhere

“review quote to come review quote to come”

Reviewer 3, somewhere

“review quote to come review quote to come”

Reviewer 4, somewhere



# Earth

Evolution of a  
Habitable World

Second edition

Jonathan I. Lunine

Cornell University

 **CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS  
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,  
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press  
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)  
Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9780521850018](http://www.cambridge.org/9780521850018)

First edition © Cambridge University Press 1999  
Second edition © Jonathan I. Lunine 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception  
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,  
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written  
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1999  
Reprinted 2000  
Second edition 2013

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

*Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data*

ISBN 978-0-521-85001-8 Hardback  
ISBN 978-0-521-61519-8 Paperback

Additional resources for this publication at [www.cambridge.org/lunine](http://www.cambridge.org/lunine)

---

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or  
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to  
in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such  
websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

---

# CONTENTS

Preface

page ix

## Part I The astronomical planet: Earth's place in the cosmos

<b>1 An introductory tour of Earth's cosmic neighborhood</b>	3	3.2 Radioactivity	29
1.1 Ancient attempts to determine the scale of the cosmos	3	3.3 Conservation of energy, and thermodynamics	29
1.2 Brief introduction to the solar system	4	3.4 Electromagnetic spectrum	30
Summary	6	3.5 Abundances in the Sun	31
Questions	7	Summary	33
Further reading	7	Questions	34
Reference	7	References	34
<b>2 Largest and smallest scales</b>	9	<b>4 Fusion, fission, sunlight, and element formation</b>	35
Introduction	9	Introduction	35
2.1 Scientific notation	9	4.1 Stars and nuclear fusion	35
2.2 Motions of Earth in the cosmos	9	4.2 Element production in the Big Bang	38
2.3 Cosmic distances	13	4.3 Element production during nuclear fusion in stars	38
2.4 Microscopic constitution of matter	17	4.4 Production of other elements in stars: $s$ , $r$ , and $p$ processes	39
Summary	22	4.5 Nonstellar element production	41
Questions	22	4.6 Element production and life	41
General reading	23	Summary	42
References	23	Questions	42
<b>3 Forces and energy</b>	25	References	43
Introduction	25		
3.1 Forces of nature	25		

## Part II The measurable planet: tools to discern the history of Earth and the planets

<b>5 Determination of cosmic and terrestrial ages</b>	47	Questions	53
Introduction	47	General reading	53
5.1 Overview of age dating	47	References	54
5.2 The concept of half-life	47	<b>6 Other uses of isotopes for Earth history</b>	55
5.3 Carbon-14 dating	49	Introduction	55
5.4 Measurement of parents and daughters: rubidium–strontium	50	6.1 Stable isotopes, seafloor sediments, and climate	55
5.5 Fission track dating	52	6.2 A possible temperature history of Earth from cherts	57
5.6 Caveat emptor	52	Summary	59
Summary	53		

Questions	60	8.6 Radioisotopic dating of Earth rocks	79
General reading	60	8.7 Geologic timescale	79
References	60	8.8 A grand sequence	80
<b>7 Relative age dating of cosmic and terrestrial events: the cratering record</b>	61	8.9 The geologic timescale as a map	81
Introduction	61	Summary	81
7.1 Process of impact cratering	61	Questions	81
7.2 Using craters to date planetary surfaces	62	General reading	82
7.3 Cratering on planetary bodies with atmospheres	68	References	82
7.4 Impactors through time	70	<b>9 Plate tectonics: an introduction to the process</b>	83
Summary	70	Introduction	83
Questions	70	9.1 Early evidence for and historical development of plate tectonics	83
References	71	9.2 Genesis of plate tectonics after World War II	84
<b>8 Relative age dating of terrestrial events: geologic layering and geologic time</b>	73	9.3 The basic model of plate tectonics	87
Introduction	73	9.4 Past motions of the plates and supercontinents	91
8.1 Catastrophism versus uniformitarianism	73	9.5 Driving forces of plate motions	94
8.2 Estimating the age of Earth, without radioisotopes	73	9.6 An end to techniques and the start of history	95
8.3 Geologic processes and their cyclical nature	74	Summary	95
8.4 Principles of geologic succession	76	Questions	95
8.5 Fossils	77	General reading	95
		References	96

## Part III The historical planet: Earth and solar system through time

<b>10 Formation of the solar system</b>	99	11.9 The Late Heavy Bombardment	126
Introduction	99	11.10 From the Hadean into the Archean: formation of the first stable continental rocks	127
10.1 Timescale of cosmological events leading up to solar system formation	99	Summary	128
10.2 Formation of stars and planets	100	Questions	128
10.3 Primitive material present in the solar system today	105	General reading	129
10.4 The search for other planetary systems	107	References	129
10.5 Summary of planet formation	110	<b>12 The Archean eon and the origin of life</b>	
Summary	111	<b>I Properties of and sites for life</b>	131
Questions	111	Introduction	131
General reading	111	12.1 Definition of life and essential workings	131
References	112	12.2 The basic unit of living organisms: the cell	135
<b>11 The Hadean Earth</b>	113	12.3 Energetic processes that sustain life	136
Introduction	113	12.4 Other means of utilizing energy	136
11.1 Bulk composition of the planets	113	12.5 Elemental necessities of life: a brief examination	138
11.2 Internal structure of Earth	117	12.6 Solar system sites for life	140
11.3 Accretion: the building up of planets	120	Summary	146
11.4 Early differentiation after accretion	121	Questions	147
11.5 Radioactive heating	122	General reading	148
11.6 Formation of an iron core	123	References	148
11.7 Formation of the Moon	123		
11.8 Origin of Earth's atmosphere, ocean, and organic reservoir	125		

<b>13 The Archean eon and the origin of life</b>			
<b>II Mechanisms</b>	149		
Introduction	149		
13.1 Thermodynamics and life	149		
13.2 The raw materials of life: synthesis and the importance of handedness	151		
13.3 Two approaches to life's origin	152		
13.4 The vesicle approach and autocatalysis	152		
13.5 The RNA world: a second option	154		
13.6 The essentials of a cell and the unification of the two approaches	156		
13.7 The Archean situation	158		
Summary	159		
Questions	159		
General reading	160		
References	160		
<b>14 The first greenhouse crisis: the faint young Sun</b>	161		
Introduction	161		
14.1 The case for an equable climate in the Archean	161		
14.2 The faint young Sun	161		
14.3 The greenhouse effect	162		
14.4 Primary greenhouse gases	164		
14.5 Implications for Earth during the faint young Sun era	164		
14.6 Paleosols and the carbon dioxide abundance	166		
14.7 Carbon dioxide cycling and early crustal tectonics	167		
14.8 A balance unique to Earth, and a lingering conundrum	170		
Summary	171		
Questions	171		
General reading	172		
References	172		
<b>15 Climate histories of Mars and Venus, and the habitability of planets</b>	173		
Introduction	173		
15.1 Venus	173		
15.2 Mars	178		
15.3 Was Mars really warm in the past?	181		
15.4 Putting a Martian history together	184		
15.5 Implications of Venusian and Martian history for life elsewhere	184		
15.6 The finite life of our biosphere	185		
Summary	186		
Questions	186		
General reading	187		
References	187		
<b>16 Earth in transition: from the Archean to the Proterozoic</b>	189		
Introduction	189		
16.1 Abundances of the elements in terrestrial rocks	189		
16.2 Mineral structure	190		
16.3 Partial melting and the formation of basalts	191		
16.4 Formation of andesites and granites	192		
16.5 Formation of protocontinents in the Archean	195		
16.6 The Archean–Proterozoic transition	196		
16.7 After the Proterozoic: modern plate tectonics	197		
16.8 Venus: an Earth-sized planet without plate tectonics	198		
16.9 Water and plate tectonics	199		
16.10 Continents, the Moon, and the length of Earth's day	200		
16.11 Entree to the modern world	201		
Summary	201		
Questions	201		
General reading	202		
References	202		
<b>17 The oxygen revolution</b>	203		
Introduction	203		
17.1 The modern oxygen cycle	203		
17.2 The balance of oxygen with and without life	205		
17.3 Limits on oxygen levels on early Earth	205		
17.4 History of the rise of oxygen	207		
17.5 Balance between oxygen loss and gain	207		
17.6 Reservoirs of oxygen and reduced gases	208		
17.7 History of oxygen on Earth	209		
17.8 Shield against ultraviolet radiation	210		
17.9 Onset of eukaryotic life	211		
Summary	212		
Questions	213		
References	213		
<b>18 The Phanerozoic: flowering and extinction of complex life</b>	215		
Introduction	215		
18.1 Evolution	217		
18.2 Ediacaran–Cambrian revolution	220		
18.3 Mass extinction events in the Phanerozoic	223		
18.4 Cretaceous–Tertiary extinction	223		
18.5 A global view of Earth's history so far	227		
Summary	228		
Questions	228		
General reading	229		
References	229		
<b>19 Climate change across the Phanerozoic</b>	231		
Introduction	231		
19.1 The supercontinent cycle	231		
19.2 Effects of continental break ups and collisions	233		
19.3 Evidence of ice ages on Earth	233		



## PREFACE

When the first edition of this book was published some 15 years ago, astrobiology was not recognized as a separate academic discipline, and few universities and colleges offered courses in the subject per se. But the question of what makes a planet capable of sustaining life, and whether inhabited planets exist in large numbers in the cosmos, was long a popular draw for courses in planetary science, geology, and astronomy. I wrote *Earth: Evolution of a Habitable World* so as to encourage instructors of freshmen and sophomore non-science majors to take a consciously planetary bent in covering how our home planet came to be, its place in the overall evolution of the cosmos, how it became habitable *and* inhabited, and how life and the environment evolved together (sometimes coupled, sometimes not) to the present day. And in closing with chapters on human-induced global warming and depletion of resources, I wished to provide a “cosmic perspective” via the rest of the book to some very down-to-Earth problems. In the breadth of topics and perspective I took in writing it, *Earth* was alone in its chosen subject area, with only a few notable exceptions.

Today astrobiology is a thriving academic field with a daunting number and variety of textbooks on the subject. In preparing a revised edition I considered making the book more consciously astrobiological, either by aligning the contents more closely with the typical survey treatment – or by simply adding the word “Astrobiology” to the title. But neither option seemed to me to do justice to the main theme of the text, which remains the story of our planet Earth from its cosmic beginnings to the present-day practical dilemmas our success as a technological species

has brought us. The astute instructor or student will be able to figure out that the book is suitable for a course in an astrobiology program, just as one might understand that a textbook entitled *Classical Mechanics* is suitable for covering part of a physics curriculum. The level remains the same, parts have been updated or rewritten, new figures included, and quiz questions expanded. As before, the book also will be useful to those who are not enrolled in courses but want to learn something of Earth’s history from a planetary perspective. However, I am well aware that there is much more competition today for both the student and interested layman, and I can only hope that this particular narrative finds its niche within the plethora of astrobiology books.

The first edition of the book was prepared when I was on the faculty of the Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, Department of Planetary Sciences, The University of Arizona. I remain forever in debt for the help, encouragement, and contributions of my colleagues there. The second edition was prepared while I was on leave of absence to the University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy, and completed here at Cornell University where I now teach; both of these institutions provided assistance and encouragement. Likewise I thank Phil Eklund, who as with the first edition provided stimulating comments, suggestions, and figure ideas. My wife Cynthia Lunine illustrated the first edition but other commitments prevented her from preparing new ones for the revised edition. Nonetheless the clarity and attractiveness of style are the direct result of her work, for which I am deeply grateful.



**PART I**

# The astronomical planet: Earth's place in the cosmos



# An introductory tour of Earth's cosmic neighborhood

## 1.1 Ancient attempts to determine the scale of the cosmos

The science of astronomy developed in many different cultures and from many different motivations. Because, even in cities of the preindustrial world, the stars could be seen readily at night, the pageant of the sky was an inspiration for, and embodiment of, the myths and legends of almost all cultures. Some people tracked the fixed stars and moving planets with great precision, some for agricultural purposes (the ancient Egyptians needed to prepare for the annual flooding of the Nile River Valley) and more universally to attempt to predict the future. The regularity of the motions of the heavens was powerfully suggestive of the notion that history itself was cyclical, and hence predictable. The idea of human history linked to celestial events remains with us today as the practice of astrology. In spite of a lack of careful experimental tests, or demonstrated physical mechanisms, this powerfully attractive belief system is pursued widely with varying amounts of seriousness, extending in the early 1980s to the level of the presidency of the United States.

Although ancient understanding of the nature of the cosmos varied widely and was usually a reflection of particular mythologies of a given culture, the classical Greeks distinguished themselves by their (often successful) attempts to use experiment and deduction to learn about the universe. Some Greek philosophers understood the spherical nature of Earth and something of the scale of nearby space. Aristotle, in the fourth century BC, correctly interpreted lunar eclipses as being due to the shadow of Earth projected on the surface of the Moon. By noting that the shadow was rounded, he deduced that Earth must be spherical; in fact, another acceptable shape based on that one observation is a disk (Figure 1.1). Others, such as Plato, had much earlier endorsed a spherical shape on aesthetic grounds.


Eratosthenes, who lived in the third century BC, made a remarkably accurate determination of the size of our planet without having to travel too far. He used the observation that at high noon on summer solstice (June 21 in our calendar, when the Sun reaches its northernmost point in the sky of Earth), the Sun was directly overhead at a site in Syene (now Aswan), Egypt, because no shadow could be seen in the vertical well shaft. Eratosthenes lived in Alexandria, due north of Syene, and

there he could observe that the Sun cast a shadow at noon on that same date of June 21 (Figure 1.1).

What did this mean? If Earth were a sphere, then different people standing at different locations on Earth at the same time would see the Sun in different parts of the sky. By measuring as an angular distance in the sky, the change in the position of the Sun from one place to another and knowing the distance between the two stations, one could then by a simple calculation work out the circumference of the whole globe. In his home city, Eratosthenes carefully measured the size of a shadow cast by an obelisk of known height, at the same time on the same day that no noontime shadow occurred at Syene. The angular position of the Sun, from the size of the shadow at Alexandria, gave an angle of 7.2 degrees between the position of the Sun at the two stations, or one-fiftieth of the entire angular extent of the sky (which by definition surrounds our globe and therefore extends over 360 degrees). Therefore, Earth's circumference, he knew, must be 50 times the distance between Syene and Alexandria.

The distance was, however, known only approximately from the number of days it took a camel to travel between the two towns and the distance a typical camel walks in a day. Furthermore, to compare the result with the value we know today, the units of measurement used by the Greeks must be converted to modern ones, which is also an uncertain exercise. In modern units, the Syene–Alexandria distance is 570 miles, or 918 kilometers (km), and hence Eratosthenes' experiment yields an Earth circumference of 46,000 km, just 12% too large. This represents an extraordinary achievement, 2,300 years before human beings could view the round globe of Earth from space.

Not everything about the cosmos that the Greek philosophers deduced or inferred came out right. The most celebrated mistake was that of Ptolemy, who lived 400 years after Eratosthenes and is associated most closely with the cosmological system in which the Sun and the planets (in fact, the whole cosmos) were thought to orbit Earth. However, this was just the penultimate round in a long debate on the topic: Aristarchus of Samos, a generation before Eratosthenes, put the Sun at the center with



**Figure 1.1** Two ancient Greek observations of the cosmos: (a) Aristotle's determination of Earth's sphericity via a lunar eclipse; (b) Eratosthenes' measurement of the size of Earth. Adapted from Snow (1991).

Earth and the other planets orbiting it. This correct model of the solar system was discredited at the time because the Greeks could not see the stars shift in position as Earth moved from one point in its orbit to the opposite side. In fact, the stars do appear to shift position, in the phenomenon called parallax that we describe later, but they are so far away that the shift cannot be detected with the unaided eye. This the Greeks did not know, and the failed experiment led them down the wrong path of an Earth-centered cosmos that would not finally be discarded until the times of Copernicus and Galileo, over 1,500 years later.

We should not fault the classical Greeks for their wrong interpretations, but should admire their startling successes, which were based on observations unaided by the technologies available at present, coupled with the disciplined logic of inductive and deductive reasoning, which was the foundation of the scientific method. Few of us today could repeat the insights of the handful of extraordinary philosophers who anticipated by many centuries some of the outcomes of the Copernican Revolution. In point of fact, we in the industrialized world still have a mindset in essence of an Earth-centered universe: we think little of the sky, increasingly obscured by the lights of cities and hence unfamiliar to us, unless it is to wonder when the Sun will set today, or what the local newspaper horoscope claims our immediate future will hold.

## 1.2 Brief introduction to the solar system

The solar system consists of eight major planets, several classes of minor planets, some 166 (as of the beginning of 2006) named natural satellites (or *moons*), and innumerable small bodies, all orbiting the Sun. In 2006 Pluto was "demoted" by the International Astronomical Union from the status of planet to a member of a class of "dwarf planets" that include other members in the region beyond the orbit of Neptune, and the largest bodies in the "main asteroid belt" between Mars and Jupiter. Robotic spacecraft have traversed the distance to the farthest


planet in the solar system, some 6 billion km. The distance to the nearest star, Proxima Centauri, is 6,000 times greater; hence, we have no hope of seeing spacecraft reach such targets in the foreseeable future. In view of this, the solar system is our cosmic neighborhood, accessible for study by spacecraft and constituting the setting within which Earth has evolved through time.

Here the solar system is summarized in tutorial form to provide a context for what follows. The information presented is the result of at least three millennia of observations and insights, capped by three decades of intense scientific study from the ground and space. Some of this effort is described in the book, but to present a complete history of the exploration of the solar system would require a separate volume.

Figure 1.2 is a map of the solar system. The eight planets fall roughly into three classes according to their size and composition. The four *terrestrial* planets Mercury, Venus, Earth, and Mars range in diameter from 4,800 km (Mercury) to 12,700 km (Earth). They occupy a small, inner region of the solar system, and are composed of a mixture of rocky and metallic materials.

The four *giant* or *Jovian* planets Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptune are substantially bigger than Earth, ranging in diameter from 49,000 km (Neptune) to 142,000 km (Jupiter). They are much farther from the Sun than are the inner planets: Jupiter's distance from the Sun is five times that of Earth's and hence is abbreviated as 5 *astronomical units* (AU); Neptune is 30 AU from the Sun. In terms of common units of distance, Earth lies 150 million km from the Sun, and thus Neptune is more than 4 billion km from the solar system's center.

The giant planets are composed of a mixture of rocky and icy material and varying amounts of gases; Jupiter and Saturn are mostly hydrogen and helium gas whereas Uranus and Neptune are predominantly icy and rocky material with lesser amounts of hydrogen and helium gas. (Rocky and icy material is used here to mean atoms of silicon, magnesium, iron, oxygen, carbon, nitrogen, sulfur, and others that tend to form rocky and icy materials under conditions of normal pressure. Because of the



**Figure 1.2** Schematic map of our solar system, showing the correct relative sizes of orbits but not of the bodies themselves. Note the small scale of the orbits of terrestrial planets compared to the vast realm of the outer planets. Not shown are the Kuiper Belt beginning just beyond Neptune's orbit and the Oort cloud of comets much further out.

intense pressures deep within these giant planets, much of the icy and rocky material is in atomic form, rather than the molecular form with which we are familiar.)

Six of the planets have moons, as does Pluto and some asteroids. Some moons are small, irregular fragments kilometers across; others – two moons of Jupiter, one of Saturn – are larger than the planet Mercury. The giant planets have multiple satellite systems, some in very regular, circular orbits, which can be considered as miniature solar systems. A class of giant moons, with sizes from that of the Earth's moon upward, include the four Galilean satellites of Jupiter and Saturn's moon Titan. Titan possesses an atmosphere thicker than ours on Earth and sports river channels and perhaps lakes filled or once filled with methane; several other moons have tenuous atmospheres, including our own Moon, which exhibits an extremely rarefied atmosphere of sodium and potassium. All of the planets have atmospheres, though that of Mercury is like our Moon's in being very tenuous.

The four giant planets have ring systems composed of debris from house-sized to dust, which orbits in the equatorial plane of the planet. Saturn's famous ring system is considerably more massive than those of the other major planets. None of the terrestrial planets possesses an organized ring system.

Beyond Neptune lies a part of the solar system poorly explored but, paradoxically, the easiest to see from neighboring stars because of the extensive amount of debris there. The two largest bodies in this region are Pluto and Eris, each about 2,500 km in diameter, and smaller than four of the solar system's moons (Earth's Moon, Jupiter's Ganymede, Callisto, and Saturn's Titan). But they are the largest of a class of debris left over from the formation of the solar system. When Pluto was discovered in 1930 by the American Astronomer Clyde Tombaugh, other bodies of such size beyond Neptune were not known, and hence Pluto was classified as a planet. Today we know that Pluto is a part of the "trans-Neptunian region", or "Kuiper Belt", in which hundreds of other bodies have been individually identified and their orbits mapped. The inner edge of this thick belt of material is defined by the giant planets, whose strong gravitational fields have swept the region from 5 to 30 AU clear of debris and cleaned out lanes within the Kuiper Belt itself. Eris, discovered in 2003, is a bit more massive and larger in size than Pluto. In both size and density (amount of mass per volume in the object), Pluto and Eris are remarkably similar to Triton, the largest moon of Neptune, suggesting this latter to have once been a Kuiper Belt object and further hinting at some sort of natural upper limit to the growth of these bodies.

Well beyond the region of Neptune and the Kuiper Belt lies more icy and rocky material in distant orbits ranging out to perhaps 100,000 AU from the Sun. The presence of such material is inferred from the existence of comets, rock-ice bodies perhaps 1 to 10 km in diameter that come into the inner solar system on highly noncircular, that is, elliptical, orbits. Careful plotting of the paths of comets indicates that most of the orbits originate in an ill-defined shell of material termed the *Oort Cloud*. The comets are the small fraction of Oort Cloud objects that fall inward to the Sun after having been perturbed by close-passing stars. The total number of comet-sized Oort Cloud objects may exceed one trillion.

Remote observation of comets as they pass through the inner solar system suggests that they are accumulations of dust, organic material, water ice, and frozen gases. The Oort Cloud material is thought to have been ejected from the 5- to 30-AU region by the giant planets after their formation and, in addition to comet-sized bodies, both larger and smaller objects may reside in this cloud.

Between the orbits of Mars and Jupiter lie belts of rocky objects known as asteroids. The largest asteroids are several hundred kilometers across; in number and total mass they are minuscule compared to the Oort Cloud and the Kuiper Belt. They are thought to be debris that never formed into a planet because of the proximity of Jupiter, whose gravitational field prevented efficient growth of a large body from smaller ones. Another collection of asteroids crosses the orbit of Earth—the so-called *near-Earth asteroids*, some of which may be old comets that have lost their mantles of ice after many passes by the Sun. Finally, lanes and regions of dust released from comets or asteroids lace the solar system; the precise distribution of this material, some of which can be seen faintly after sunset as the *zodiacal light*, remains somewhat uncertain.

The history of collisions between the numerous bits of small debris and the planets is recorded by the ubiquitous existence of craters throughout the solar system. Even Earth shows the scars, Meteor Crater in Arizona being a famous recent example. As we shall see, impacts may have played key roles in the origin and evolution of life on this planet Earth.

The solar system exhibits several regularities in its structure, which are important in understanding its origin, as we discuss later. All planets orbit the Sun in nearly circular orbits, close to the plane of the Sun's equator. The orbits of Pluto and Eris are more typical of the Kuiper Belt, being *inclined* (tilted relative to the Sun's equator) and *eccentric* (significantly noncircular). All orbits are in the same direction; by convention, they are counterclockwise around the Sun when viewed from above the Sun's *northern hemisphere*. With two exceptions, Venus and Uranus, all planetary spins are in the same, counterclockwise, direction. However, the planetary rotational axes are all tilted relative to their orbital planes by varying degrees.

There is a strong correlation between the properties of the planets and their location in the solar system. The four terrestrial planets, which contain proportionately little water and gases, are closest to the Sun and not very massive compared to the giant planets. From Jupiter outward, solid objects (moons and Pluto) contain significant amounts of water ice and more volatile species. (Here, volatile refers to the tendency for a material to transform from a condensed state to a vapor.) The four giant planets seem to be of two classes, with the more gaseous planets, Jupiter and Saturn, closer to the Sun. These regularities provide clues to the origin of the solar system, but most other planetary systems known to exist around other stars do not exhibit such strict regularities as we discuss in Chapter 10.

## Summary

Astronomy arose from the practical and the curious: from the need to keep track of time for planting to the questions of where we came from and whether we are alone in the cosmos. The classical Greeks of 2,500 years ago applied geometry and rigorous thinking to the question of the size of the Earth and distances to the Sun and to the stars. Our cosmic backyard is the solar system, which consists of planets, moons, and numerous smaller bodies all in orbit around a rather commonplace star

we call (in English) the Sun. Evidence that the planets formed from accumulation of smaller material comes from the record of craters—holes formed in the surfaces of the solid planets and moons by high speed impacts. The planets of our solar system seem to be well ordered, with rocky planets orbiting close to the Sun and gas giants with icy moons further out, a situation that may not be the norm for planetary systems around other stars.

## Questions

1. Consider how you have responded to a controversial scientific or technological issue. Did you try to weigh rationally the pros and cons, or did you respond on the basis of your instincts or emotions? In your own experience, which approach – the rational or the emotional – has produced the most satisfactory result in resolving conflicts?
2. Imagine that the knowledge leading to atomic energy had never been achieved. What are some of the things that might have been different about the period from World War II to today? Can you say whether the world would have been better or worse off?
3. Imagine an intelligent species evolved on a planet habitable like the Earth, but with a perpetually opaque atmosphere so that the stars could not be seen. How might they regard themselves and the nature of their world as a planet in such

circumstances? Could they infer the presence of other stars, planets, and moons? Would there be any impetus to develop the ability to travel into space? Likewise, how would a species with the intelligence of humankind but restricted to the deep oceans define its “cosmos”?

4. A smaller and smaller fraction of the human species can see a star-filled sky at night, thanks to the increased amount of nighttime illumination used in cities. At the same time, an increased fraction of humankind has access to detailed images of the cosmos from large telescopes in space and on the ground. How do you think this shift in the nature of astronomical information will alter popular thinking about the cosmos in the next few decades? In the next few centuries?

## General reading

Boorstein, D. J. 1983. *The Discoverers*. Vintage Books, New York.  
Sagan, C. 1996. *The Demon-Haunted World: Science as a Candle in the Dark*. Ballantine Books, New York.

## Reference

Snow, T. P. 1991. *The Dynamic Universe: An Introduction to Astronomy*, 4th edn. West Publishing, St. Paul, MN.








Figure 2.1 Sizes of various objects over the enormous range that the natural world encompasses. From Robbins and Jeffreys (1988) by permission of John Wiley and Sons.